Exhibit X

In the Matter of:

Microsoft/Activision Blizzard

January 3, 2023 Pretrial Conference

Condensed Transcript with Word Index



For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

9 11 I know, there is no parallel proceeding pending before 1 either resolution, if we can, which would be ideal, or a 1 2 2 any U.S. District Court. Is there any update on that? trial in front of you, which, of course, is fine and up 3 MR. WEINGARTEN: This is James Weingarten with 3 to the FTC, or Federal Court. 4 the Government, Your Honor. You are correct, sir. 4 JUDGE CHAPPELL: Now, did I hear you mention the 5 United Kingdom and the European Union, one or both? 5 There is no further update, no parallel proceeding. 6 MS. WILKINSON: Both, Your Honor. There's a --6 JUDGE CHAPPELL: And is there any plan for one? 7 7 MR. WEINGARTEN: Not at this time, Your Honor, as you know, there's the EC, the European Commission, 8 only if it were to become necessary at some future date. 8 and then the UK now has the CMA, and they are reviewing 9 JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. 9 the deal separately, at the same time right now, and 10 The parties were provided a proposed scheduling 10 our clients are engaging with both regulators. order in advance of this conference. The parties have 11 There are also other regulators around the world 11 12 jointly proposed several changes to dates and to 12 that are looking at this deal, and we've received 13 additional provisions in the scheduling order. These 13 clearance in some jurisdictions, and we hope to receive, 14 14 changes are acceptable and will be incorporated in a you know, clearance in all jurisdictions sometime over 15 scheduling order which I will issue shortly. 15 the next few months. 16 Let me ask about settlement discussions. I trust 16 JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. And no one in the UK 17 the parties have attempted to settle this matter. Does 17 Commission or the European Commission, no one has issued 18 18 an edict to block anything at this point. Is that the Government want to go first and provide the status 19 19 of settlement discussions? correct? 20 MR. WEINGARTEN: Yes, Your Honor. This is, 20 MS. WILKINSON: That's correct, Your Honor. 21 21 JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right, thank you. again, James Weingarten with the Government. 22 Leadership for the Bureau of Competition has 22 Well, as everyone was aware, this was going to be 23 23 a very brief conference because it's merely procedural. directed Respondents to engage with staff directly. We 24 are always open to any remedy or settlement proposal, 24 The big part of this was to get the scheduling order 25 either in litigation or before litigation. There are no 25 confirmed, which we've done. 10 12 1 substantive conversations happening at this time. 1 Is there anything further from the Government 2 JUDGE CHAPPELL: When you say to engage staff 2 before I conclude the hearing? 3 directly, who do you mean by "staff"? 3 MR. WEINGARTEN: This is James Weingarten. 4 4 MR. WEINGARTEN: Me, Your Honor. Nothing further. Thank you, Your Honor. 5 5 JUDGE CHAPPELL: Anything further from Respondent JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. 6 6 Microsoft Corporation? Any update on settlement or information from ^ 7 7 Respondents' side? MS. WILKINSON: No, Your Honor. 8 8 MS. WILKINSON: Yes, Your Honor. This is Beth JUDGE CHAPPELL: And from -- anything further 9 9 Wilkinson on behalf of Xbox. from Respondent Activision Blizzard, Inc.? 10 Part of the reason we were able to engage with 10 MR. SUNSHINE: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you 11 Complaint Counsel and come up with a more expedited 11 very much. 12 schedule is, as you may know, we are undergoing -- the 12 JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. 13 Hearing nothing further, we are adjourned. Thank 13 deal is undergoing 43view over in Europe and in the 14 14 United Kingdom, and we're hoping that that will be (Whereupon, at 3:21 p.m., the hearing was 15 15 resolved, and if there are remedies that are 16 concluded.) 16 appropriate, that we can come back to Mr. Weingarten and the FTC staff to talk about a resolution. 17 17 18 18 If not, as he was suggesting, and we have to 19 go -- the deal then could go forward and could close, we 19 20 assume that the FTC would make the decision to go to 20 21 Federal Court, and that's why we wanted to put -- kind 21 22 of front-load the discovery in case they were to move 22 23 it, because we have a termination date of July 18th, 23 24 24 25 25 So we kind of are preparing for all options,